

## Damage detection in offshore wind turbine grouted connection by nonlinear harmonic identification

### Offshore Wind R&D 2018, Bremerhaven, 14.11.2018

<u>Nathalie MÜLLER</u>, Research Institute of Civil Engineering and Mechanics GeM (University of Nantes) / Fraunhofer IWES

> Peter Kraemer, University of Siegen Dominique Leduc, University of Nantes Franck Schoefs, University of Nantes





## Context – The grouted connection of OWTs



Significant sliding damages of grouted connections have been reported in 2009-2010

- $\rightarrow$  600 of the 988 monopile OWTs in the North Sea
- → Cylindrical with shear keys + conical design recommended (DNV-OS-J101 (2014), DNV-OS-C502 (2012), DNVGL-ST-0126 (2016))



OWT with a monopile substructure and detail of a grouted joint (DNV, 2014)



OWT with a tripod / jacket substructure and detail of a grouted joint (Schaumann et al., 2013)



Significant sliding damages of grouted connections have been reported in 2009-2010

- $\rightarrow$  600 of the 988 monopile OWTs in the North Sea
- $\rightarrow$  Cylindrical with shear keys + conical design recomd (DNV-OS-J101 (2014), DNV-OS-C502 (2012) 2016))



**OWT** with a monopile substructure and detail of a grouted joint (DNV, 2014)









### Structural Health Monitoring (SHM)

- Real-time information from permanently fixed sensing or actuation devices (accelerometers, strain gages, inclinometers, acoustic sensors ...)
- Recording, analyzing and predicting the structural health conditions of a structure
- $\rightarrow$  condition-based maintenance strategy





A Numerical model for analyzing the nonlinear behavior of the grouted connection

Fatigue tests of grouted connection specimens

- At the Leibniz University of Hannover (LUH), Institute for Steel Construction
- Under the GROWup Project
- $\rightarrow$  Fatigue behavior of the grouted connection
- A SHM for detecting the damages during the test
  - Instrumentation: fiber optic sensors (FBG)
  - Vibration-based detection methodology (nonlinear approach)
  - $\rightarrow$  How effective can be the system?





## Numerical modelling of grouted connection

- 2D axisymmetric modelling of a large scale grouted connection (same dimensions as for specimen for fatigue test)
- Pure elastic modelling for the steel parts
- Concrete Damaged Plasticity model (CDP) for the grout







### Numerical modelling of grouted connection

- Concrete Damaged Plasticity model (CDP) for the grout
  - the main two failure mechanisms are tensile cracking and compressive crushing
  - The yield surface (Lubliner-Lee-Fenves definition), gives the ability to describe first yield of the material, but also the stiffness degradation due to cyclic loading
  - → Defined by following parameters: dilatancy angle, eccentricity, biaxial to uniaxial compressive strength ratio, shape parameter





IWES

### Numerical modelling – Crack pattern





- Interface shear strength fg\_sliding at 10.4 MPa
- Grout matrice strength, fg\_shear at 7.88 MPa  $\rightarrow$  F ULS = 7.55 MN
- Numerical results for compressive loading at F ULS
- Comparison with experimental results with same GC dimension in dry conditions (Bechtel, 2016)
- $\rightarrow$  Same crack patterns
  - $\rightarrow$  Crushing at shear keys
  - → Cracks between shear keys P1-S1, P1-S2, P4-S5 and P5-S5





Max. principal plastic strain in the grout at ULS compressive load (F ULS = 7.55 MN)

(Avg: 75%)



9

### Numerical modelling – Damage and nonlinear behavior

Fraunhofer

10

IWES

Simulation of interface failure between sleeve and grout at the top of the connection, by reduction of friction coefficient FC

 Without damage: FC=0.70; Damage Level 1: FC=0.35; Damage Level 2: FC=0.00



# Numerical modelling – Damage and nonlinear behavior



Simulation of compression cracks at the top of the connection

- Damage Level DL1: Crack between shear keys S1 P1
- Damage Level DL2: Crack between shear keys S1 P1 and S2 P1
- --> odd subharmonics + appearance of superharmonics





aunhofer

IWES

11

S, Mises

(Avg: 75%)

# Numerical modelling – Damage and nonlinear behavior



### Selection of a Damage Indicator DI

- Calculation of DI at 3 positions along the sleeve
- For 2 damage levels

→ Total change of subharmonics and superharmonics in the normalized ESD spectrum

$$DI = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (H_{j,damaged} - H_{j,healthy})$$

with H<sub>j</sub> being the peak amplitude of the subharmonic j, and N the total number of subharmonics





Fraunhofer

IWES

## Numerical modelling – Damage and nonlinear behavior

#### Selection of a Damage Indicator DI Calculation of DI at 3 positions along the sleeve Case 2: Crack failure For 2 damage levels • Damage Indicator DI - Compression strut cracks at the top shear keys 350 **P1** Damage level 1: Crack P1-S1 Damage level 2: Crack P1-S1 and P1-S2 S1 300 → Total change of subharmonics S2 250 and superharmonics in the [dB] normalized ESD spectrum value 200 150 ludicate $DI = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (H_{j,damaged} - H_{j,healthy})$ Damage | Damage 50 with H<sub>i</sub> being the peak amplitude of the subharmonic j, and N the

Inf

Mid



total number of subharmonics



Sup

#### Numerical modelling – Damage and nonlinear behavior UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES

#### Selection of a Damage Indicator DI Calculation of DI at 3 positions along the sleeve Case 2: Crack failure For 2 damage levels Damage Indicator DI5 - Compression strut cracks at the top shear keys **P1** Damage level 1: Crack P1-S1 Damage level 2: Crack P1-S1 and P1-S2 S1 → Evolution of one specified odd S2 subharmonic f5 in the normalized Damage Indicator value [dB] ESD spectrum $DI = (H_{i,damaged} - H_{j,healthy})$ with H<sub>i</sub> being the peak amplitude of the subharmonic j

Fraunhofer

IWES



•

# Numerical modelling – Damage and nonlinear UNIVERSITÉ DE NANTES

### Selection of a Damage Indicator DI Calculation of DI at 3 positions along the sleeve Case 2

• For 2 damage levels

→ Evolution of one specified odd subharmonic f7 in the normalized ESD spectrum

$$DI = (H_{j,damaged} - H_{j,healthy})$$

with H<sub>j</sub> being the peak amplitude of the subharmonic j







## Fatigue tests of grouted connection specimens

## Grouted-connection specimen:

- Large scale tripod grouted connection specimen
- Designed with 5 shear keys
  positioned in the center of the
  grouted connection
- Filled with fresh water 24h before the test



Cut-off view of a grouted connection specimen (tripod structure in a scale of 1:4)





## Fatigue tests of grouted connection specimens

### **Testing procedure:**

- 10 MN servo-hydraulic machine of LUH (Institute of Building Material Science)
- Incremental axial and cyclic
  loads (1Hz), where each load
  level is applied for 100,000
  cycles

Load Scenario	LS1	LS2
F <sub>max</sub> / F <sub>min</sub> [MN]	+1 / -1	+2 / -2





Grouted connection specimen in the servohydraulic testing machine





### FBG Working principle:



### $\Delta \lambda / \lambda_0 = (1-p_e)^* \epsilon_z + (\alpha_{\wedge} + \alpha_n)^* \Delta T$

with  $\Delta\lambda$  the wavelength variation,  $\epsilon_z$  the strain,  $\lambda_0$  the initial wavelength,  $p_e$  the photo-elastic coefficient,  $\alpha_A$  the thermal dilatation,  $\alpha_n$  the thermo-optic coefficient, and  $\Delta T$  the temperature variation

FBG advantages: robust (harsh conditions), immune to electromagnetic interferences, multiplexing ...







### FBG Working principle:



## FBG advantages: robust (harsh conditions), immune to electromagnetic interferences, multiplexing ...







### FBG Working principle:



### $\Delta \lambda / \lambda_0 = (1-p_e)^* \epsilon_z + (\alpha_{\wedge} + \alpha_n)^* \Delta T$

with  $\Delta\lambda$  the wavelength variation,  $\epsilon_z$  the strain,  $\lambda_0$  the initial wavelength,  $p_e$  the photo-elastic coefficient,  $\alpha_A$  the thermal dilatation,  $\alpha_n$  the thermo-optic coefficient, and  $\Delta T$  the temperature variation

FBG advantages: robust (harsh conditions), immune to electromagnetic interferences, multiplexing ...







### **Design & application:**

- Bare fibers bonded on the steel surface of the sleeve in the shear key area
- 9 FBGs for strain measurement
- 3 FBGs for temperature compensation
- Application method: glued with a cyanoacrylate glue + polyurethane lack (humidity and mechanical protection)









### **Design & application:**

- Bare fibers bonded on the steel surface of the sleeve in the shear key area
- 9 FBGs for strain measurement
- 3 FBGs for temperature compensation
- Application method: glued with a cyanoacrylate glue + polyurethane lack (humidity and mechanical protection)









### **Design & application:**

- Bare fibers bonded on the steel surface of the sleeve in the shear key area
- 9 FBGs for strain measurement
- 3 FBGs for temperature compensation
- Application method: glued with a cyanoacrylate glue + polyurethane lack (humidity and mechanical protection)









## Monitoring of the appearance of nonlinearities and calculation of the Damage Indicator DI (all subharmonics)



PAYS DE LA LOIRE

**1ARINE** 

NERG

CENTER search, Educa & Innovation PAYS de la LC  $\rightarrow$  Total change of subharmonics in the normalized ESD spectrum

$$DI = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (H_{j,damaged} - H_{j,healthy})$$

with H<sub>j</sub> being the peak amplitude of the subharmonic j, and N the total number of subharmonics





## Monitoring of the appearance of nonlinearities and calculation of the Damage Indicator DI (all subharmonics)



 $\rightarrow$  Total change of subharmonics in the normalized ESD spectrum

$$DI = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (H_{j,damaged} - H_{j,healthy})$$

with H<sub>j</sub> being the peak amplitude of the subharmonic j, and N the total number of subharmonics

→ Cyclic creep curve





## Monitoring of the appearance of nonlinearities and calculation of the Damage Indicator DI (all subharmonics)



Damage Indicator Values for every sensor at 2.52*10 <sup>4</sup> cycles [dB]					
	Inferior level	Mid-level	Superior level		
Angle 1	154.1	210.7	231.5		
Angle 2	158.9	275.8	403.6		
Angle 3	137.1	205.9	445.9		

#### Damage indicator – curve slope for FBG S2, FBG M2 and FBG I2 at the end of LS1 and start of LS2 [dB/1000 cycles]

	End of LS1	Start of LS2
FBG	- 3.3	+ 44.9
<b>S</b> 2		
FBG	+ 0.9	+ 24.38
M2		
FBG	- 0.18	+ 11.36
12		

#### → Early detection



26

### Data analysis and damage detection



## Monitoring of the appearance of nonlinearities and calculation of the Damage Indicator DI5 (subharmonic f5)





Monitoring of the appearance of nonlinearities and calculation of the Damage Indicator DI5 (subharmonic f5)





## Monitoring of the appearance of nonlinearities and calculation of the Damage Indicator DI5 (subharmonic f5)



## → Detection of damage in the Mid-Inf section of the grout before break (interface failure)

Damage indicator – DI5 relative evolution				
	End of LS1	Start of LS2		
FBG S1	0.55	-0.48		
FBG S2	-0.03	-1.97		
FBG S3	0.03	-0.90		
FBG M1	-0.01	2.75		
FBG M2	0.00	1.03		
FBG M3	-0.02	3.60		
FBG I1	-0.01	0.63		
FBG I2	-0.01	0.79		
FBG I3	-0.01	<b>0.59</b> 29		
		IWES		

### Conclusion



### Monitoring of grouted connection

 SHM system based on fiber optic sensors associated with a signal-based detection methodology (vibration-based, nonlinear approach)

### Damage detection

- Selection of Damage Indicators based on subharmonics and superharmonics evolution in the output signal
- Detection of the occurrence (early stage)
- Damage localization and severity can be achieved with particular caution (i.e. with a well understanding of the nonlinear behavior of the structure in healthy and damage states)

### Future work







# THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

nathalie.mueller@iwes.fraunhofer.de nathalie.muller@univ-nantes.fr



