

Mitigation of piling noise during construction of offshore windfarms – special focus OWP DanTysk and Sandbank

Bremerhaven 14.10.2015 - RAVE Conference

Dr. Eva Philipp Vattenfall Europe Windkraft Überseering 12 22297 Hamburg

Agenda

- Vattenfall a key player in Offshore Wind
- Noise mitigation regulations in different countries
- Noise mitigation regulations German EEZ
- Noise mitigation concepts
- Noise mitigation systems
- OWP DanTysk monopile installation
- **OWP Sandbank monopile installation**
- Summary
- DEPONS project



A key player in offshore wind







Noise mitigation							
Parameters	Country-specific regulations						
	Denmark	Germany	Netherlands	Sweden	UK /Wales/Scotland	Belgium	France
Authority	Danish Energy Agency (DEA) ⁽⁶⁾	Federal Maritime and Hydrographic Agency (BSH) ⁽⁴⁾	Rijkswaterstaat (Waterwet (Ww), Water act) (*)(*)	Swedish Agency for Marine and Water Managment (SwAM) ⁽¹⁰⁾	UK/Wales: "Marine Management Organisation", The Planning Inspectorate" Scotland: Marine Scotland Licensing and Operations Team (MSLOT)	Flemish Government, the Department Leefmilieu, Natuur & Energie	Ministère de l'Écologie, du Développement durable et de l'Énergie (3)
Soft start	Yes, but not standardised	Yes ⁽⁵⁾	Under discussion, most likely yes.	Not at present	Yes, but no general rule.	Yes, taken up in permit and not standardised ⁽¹⁾	No - at developers discretion
Marine Mammal Observers (MMO)	No	No	No	No	Yes, but no general rule.	No ⁽¹⁾	No - at developers discretion
Acoustic Deterrent Devices (ADD)	Yes, recommended but not standardised	Yes ^{(5),} Pinger and Seal scarer	Under discussion, most likely yes.	No	Occasionally, judged on case by case basis ⁽¹⁾	Yes, taken up in permit ⁽¹⁾	No - at developers discretion
Seasonal restrictions in piling (for marine mammals)	No	No ⁽⁵⁾ not in general	Yes, depending on season and turbine number	No	Not in general	Yes, but advice only: no piling between 1st January and 30th April ⁽¹⁾	No - at developers discretion
OWF development in protected areas	Not <i>a priori</i> forbidden	Not a <i>priori</i> forbidden	Not a <i>priori</i> forbidden	Not a <i>priori</i> forbidden	Not <i>a priori</i> forbidden ⁽¹⁾	Not a <i>priori</i> forbidden ⁽¹⁾	Not a <i>priori</i> forbidden
Noise thresholds	Yes, cumulative SEL 183 dB re 1µPa (unweighted) on harbour porpoise (7)	Yes, 160 dB SELand 190 db SPL at 750 m from piling event ⁽⁵⁾	Yes, depending on season and turbine number.	No	No ⁽¹⁾	No ⁽¹⁾	No
Restriction on parallel piling	No	Cummulative calculation necessary. Depending on season, OWP location and % impact on protected areas.	Under discussion, most likely no more than one construction activity.	No	No ⁽¹⁾	No ⁽¹⁾	No - at developers discretion



Noise mitigation regulations in the German EEZ

The impact of noise from piling activities on marine mammals, particularly harbour porpoises (*Phocoena phocoena*), has become a crucial aspect in the process of approving offshore wind farm projects in Germany.

Bundesnaturschutzgesetz – Federal law on nature protection

- Forbidden to injure (§ 44 Abs. 1 BNatSchG) → Individual
- Forbidden to significantly disturb (§ 44 Abs. 1 BNatSchG) → Population

To meet these rules for harbor porpoise, threshold levels in Germany were set to 160dB SEL in 750m distance to the piling location

Since 1. December 2013 a "Noise mitigation concept" was introduced for new permits by the Federal Ministry for the Environment (BMU)



2015 - new piling regulation in practical implementation – max. 180min piling time (monopiles) including deterrence

Noise mitigation concept

Components of a noise mitigation concept:

- <u>Deterrence (Soft start, Pinger, Seal Scarer)</u>
 - displace animals from areas of high noise levels
- Noise mitigation system (BBC, IHC, HSD...)
 - decrease piling noise
 - Mitigation of noise generation decreased piling energy, alternative foundation installation
- <u>Control of efficiency (Hydrophones, C-PODs)</u>
 - document efficiency of noise mitigation and effect on harbour porpoise abundance
- <u>Documentation</u>





Noise mitigation systems used in OWPs



DanTysk Monopile installation – Noise mitigation: Big Bubble Curtain

Vattenfall offshore wind farms in the German North Sea





Project Set-up and current status:

- Joint venture with SWM
- MP installation by ABJV
- Construction: Mar 2013 Dec 13
- Commissioning: 2014/15
- Power 288 MW

Project Details:

- 70 km West of Sylt island
- Water depth 21 to 31 m
- Size 72 km²
- 80 WEA locations
- Monopiles Ø 6.00 m



9 | RAVE BHV 14.10.2015, Mitigation of piling noise - DanTysk & Sandbank

DanTysk - Big Bubble Curtain (BBC) variations





Sandbank Monopile installation – Noise mitigation: DBBC + HSD



Project Set-up and current status:

- Joint venture with SWM
- MP installation by Bilfinger
- Construction start: mid 2015
- Planned commissioning: 2017
- Power 288 MW

Project Details:

- 90 km West of Sylt island
- Water depth 25 to 34 m
- Size 59 km²
- 72 WEA locations (1. Phase) Status 43 MPs installed
- Monopiles Ø 6.40-6.80m







Combination of two noise mitigation systems:

Hydrosound Damper + Double Big Bubble Curtain



Sandbank noise mitigation - Hydrosound Damper



12 RAVE BHV 14.10.2015, Mitigation of piling noise - DanTysk & Sandbank



Sandbank noise mitigation - Double Big Bubble Curtain







Sandbank - Noise mitigation regulations and mitigations

Regulations – SB/DT

- Noise limit DT/SB
- Maximum 180min piling time including deterrence SB
- Maximum 5 days deployment of bubble curtain, maintenance checks of DBBC SB
- Piling energy limit 1000-1500kJ DT/SB
- Reference measurements and documentation DT/SB
- Hydrosound documentation after 48h /each installation 72 h after each installation cycle(4 MPs) – DT/SB
- C-POD documentation after each 8 MPs DT/SB
- Daily progress report DT/SB

Mitigation - SB

- Online hydrosound monitoring
- Hammer adjustments/checks (tests, software, maintenance, exchanges)
- Implementation of new inclination measurement tools
- Re-deployment of DBBC
- Adjustment of the piling protocol
- Piling analyses
- Deterrence analysis



HSD and BBC & combination of systems



Control of Efficiency vs deterrence





Control of Efficiency vs deterrence



Summary

In DanTysk 160dB level and in Sandbank 180min max piling time was met after extensive optimization, but:

Each OWP has project specific parameters and all noise mitigation systems are still in "testing phase":

- Soil parameters, installation technique, foundation design, noise mitigation system: all parameters are OWP specific and influence noise generation
- Still a challenge to meet 160dB in 750m distance at least at construction start:
- Every project still needs an optimization phase
- Knowledge basis of the noise limit needs to be improved

180 min maximum piling time represents a new challenge (costs and HSE):

- Depending on project specific conditions this requirement cannot be met
- Knowledge basis of the piling time limit needs to be improved

Noise mitigation requirements need to be known early in the project

• Late implementation will increased costs and risk of permit breach





Research at DanTysk - DEPONS





Timeline: 2013 – 2017 Budget: 1.8 mill EUR





DanTysk Part: November 2013 – Februar 2014 12 x C-PODs 12 x Hydrophones

East Anglia Offshore Wind

www.depons.au.dk

Status report (Feb 2015)





DEPONS



Thanks for your attention!

